## Solving Earth Gravity Field from GOCE Gravity Gradient Data by Tensor Spherical Harmonics Analysis

Yongqi Zhao<sup>1,2</sup> Xinyu Xu<sup>1</sup> Jiancheng Li<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Geodesy and Geomatics, Wuhan University, China <sup>2</sup>Geodetic Institute, University of Stuttgart, Germany

2<sup>nd</sup> Workshop of DAAD Thematic Network Luxembourg, July 24-28, 2018





## Outline

## **1** Simple Introduction of GOCE Satellite

2 Tensor Spherical Harmonics Analysis Gravity Gradient Tensor Basic Theory of TSHA

## 3 Application of TSHA to GOCE Gravity Gradient

Numerical Experiment Problems Strategy Flow-chart

## 4 Results

Data

Degree-Error Root Mean Square Validation by GPS/Levelling Data

Mode: SST + SGG



Picture cited from ESA

- Mode: SST + SGG
- Average orbital height: 260 km



Picture cited from ESA

- Mode: SST + SGG
- Average orbital height: 260 km
- Inclination: 96.7°



Picture cited from ESA

## **2** Tensor Spherical Harmonics Analysis

3 Application of TSHA to GOCE Gravity Gradient

## 4 Results

Symmetric, trace-free

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{xx} & V_{xy} & V_{xz} \\ V_{yx} & V_{yy} & V_{yz} \\ V_{zx} & V_{zy} & V_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

Symmetric, trace-free

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{xx} & V_{xy} & V_{xz} \\ V_{yx} & V_{yy} & V_{yz} \\ V_{zx} & V_{zy} & V_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

In most cases,  $V_{ij}$   $(i, j \in \{x, y, z\})$  are treated independently.

Symmetric, trace-free

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{xx} & V_{xy} & V_{xz} \\ V_{yx} & V_{yy} & V_{yz} \\ V_{zx} & V_{zy} & V_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

In most cases,  $V_{ij}$   $(i, j \in \{x, y, z\})$  are treated independently.

• Direct Approach

Symmetric, trace-free

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{xx} & V_{xy} & V_{xz} \\ V_{yx} & V_{yy} & V_{yz} \\ V_{zx} & V_{zy} & V_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

In most cases,  $V_{ij}$  ( $i, j \in \{x, y, z\}$ ) are treated independently.

- Direct Approach
- Time-Wise Approach

Symmetric, trace-free

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{xx} & V_{xy} & V_{xz} \\ V_{yx} & V_{yy} & V_{yz} \\ V_{zx} & V_{zy} & V_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

In most cases,  $V_{ij}$  ( $i, j \in \{x, y, z\}$ ) are treated independently.

- Direct Approach
- Time-Wise Approach
- Space-Wise Approach

Symmetric, trace-free

$$\boldsymbol{V} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{xx} & V_{xy} & V_{xz} \\ V_{yx} & V_{yy} & V_{yz} \\ V_{zx} & V_{zy} & V_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$

In most cases,  $V_{ij}$  ( $i, j \in \{x, y, z\}$ ) are treated independently.

- Direct Approach
- Time-Wise Approach
- Space-Wise Approach

• • • •

## Expression of symmetric spherical dyadics

$$V = V_{zz} \mathbf{e}_{zz} + 2V_{yz} \mathbf{e}_{yz} + \frac{1}{2}(V_{xx} - V_{yy})(\mathbf{e}_{xx} - \mathbf{e}_{yy}) + 2V_{xy} \mathbf{e}_{xy} + \frac{1}{2}(V_{xx} + V_{yy})(\mathbf{e}_{xx} + \mathbf{e}_{yy})$$

with

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j \qquad i,j \in \{x,y,z\}$$

## **Combinations of components (Rummel, 1992)**

$$V^{(1)} = V_{zz} \mathbf{e}_{zz}$$

$$V^{(2)} = 2 V_{xz} \mathbf{e}_{xz} + 2 V_{yz} \mathbf{e}_{yz}$$

$$V^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{xx} - V_{yy}) (\mathbf{e}_{xx} - \mathbf{e}_{yy}) + 2 V_{xy} \mathbf{e}_{xy}$$

#### **Series Representation of Combinations**

Series representation (Martinec, 2003)

$$\boldsymbol{V}^{(1)} = \frac{GM}{R^3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+3} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} (n+1)(n+2)\overline{C}_{nm} \boldsymbol{Z}_{nm}^{(1)}$$
$$\boldsymbol{V}^{(2)} = \frac{GM}{R^3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+3} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} -2(n+2)\overline{C}_{nm} \boldsymbol{Z}_{nm}^{(2)}$$
$$\boldsymbol{V}^{(3)} = \frac{GM}{R^3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+3} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\overline{C}_{nm} \boldsymbol{Z}_{nm}^{(3)}$$

 $Z_{nm}^{(1)}, Z_{nm}^{(2)}, Z_{nm}^{(3)}$  are subsets of the complete orthogonal group of tensor functions.

#### **Series Representation of Combinations**

Series representation (Martinec, 2003)

$$\boldsymbol{V}^{(1)} = \frac{GM}{R^3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+3} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} (n+1)(n+2)\overline{C}_{nm} \boldsymbol{Z}_{nm}^{(1)}$$
$$\boldsymbol{V}^{(2)} = \frac{GM}{R^3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+3} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} -2(n+2)\overline{C}_{nm} \boldsymbol{Z}_{nm}^{(2)}$$
$$\boldsymbol{V}^{(3)} = \frac{GM}{R^3} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{n+3} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\overline{C}_{nm} \boldsymbol{Z}_{nm}^{(3)}$$

 $Z_{nm}^{(1)}, Z_{nm}^{(2)}, Z_{nm}^{(3)}$  are subsets of the complete orthogonal group of tensor functions.

By a systematic application of the orthogonality, ...

## **Explicit expression (Martinec, 2003)**

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(1)} = \frac{\mu}{(n+1)(n+2)} \iint V_{zz} Y_{nm} d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(2)} = \frac{\mu}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ V_{xz} E_{nm} + V_{yz} F_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(3)} = \frac{\mu}{(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ (V_{xx} - V_{yy}) G_{nm} - 2V_{xy} H_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

## **Explicit expression (Martinec, 2003)**

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(1)} = \frac{\mu}{(n+1)(n+2)} \iint V_{zz} Y_{nm} d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(2)} = \frac{\mu}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ V_{xz} E_{nm} + V_{yz} F_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(3)} = \frac{\mu}{(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ (V_{xx} - V_{yy}) G_{nm} - 2V_{xy} H_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

With

## **Explicit expression (Martinec, 2003)**

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(1)} = \frac{\mu}{(n+1)(n+2)} \iint V_{zz} Y_{nm} d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(2)} = \frac{\mu}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ V_{xz} E_{nm} + V_{yz} F_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(3)} = \frac{\mu}{(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ (V_{xx} - V_{yy}) G_{nm} - 2V_{xy} H_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

#### With

• 
$$\mu = \frac{R^3}{4\pi GM} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n+3}$$

## **Explicit expression (Martinec, 2003)**

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(1)} = \frac{\mu}{(n+1)(n+2)} \iint V_{zz} Y_{nm} d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(2)} = \frac{\mu}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ V_{xz} E_{nm} + V_{yz} F_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(3)} = \frac{\mu}{(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ (V_{xx} - V_{yy}) G_{nm} - 2V_{xy} H_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

#### With

• 
$$\mu = \frac{R^3}{4\pi GM} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n+3}$$

• *Y<sub>nm</sub>* are surface spherical harmonics.

## **Explicit expression (Martinec, 2003)**

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(1)} = \frac{\mu}{(n+1)(n+2)} \iint V_{zz} Y_{nm} d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(2)} = \frac{\mu}{n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ V_{xz} E_{nm} + V_{yz} F_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

$$\overline{C}_{nm}^{(3)} = \frac{\mu}{(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)} \iint \left[ (V_{xx} - V_{yy}) G_{nm} - 2V_{xy} H_{nm} \right] d\sigma$$

#### With

• 
$$\mu = \frac{R^3}{4\pi GM} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{n+3}$$

- *Y<sub>nm</sub>* are surface spherical harmonics.
- $E_{nm}$ ,  $F_{nm}$ ,  $G_{nm}$  and  $H_{nm}$  are functions of  $Y_{nm}$ .

## **2** Tensor Spherical Harmonics Analysis

## **3** Application of TSHA to GOCE Gravity Gradient

## A Results

#### **Simulation parameters**



#### **Simulation parameters**

• Gravity model: EGM2008



#### **Simulation parameters**

- Gravity model: EGM2008
- Orbit: GOCE orbit from 20091101 to 20100111



#### **Simulation parameters**

- Gravity model: EGM2008
- Orbit: GOCE orbit from 20091101 to 20100111
- Model for continuation and filling: EIGEN-5C







## **Problems**

Colored noise in GOCE gradiometric data



- Colored noise in GOCE gradiometric data
- Ultra-low accuracy of  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$



- Colored noise in GOCE gradiometric data
- Ultra-low accuracy of  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$
- The polar gap



- Colored noise in GOCE gradiometric data
- Ultra-low accuracy of  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$
- The polar gap
- TSHA requires grid data on the sphere.



## Strategy

- Bandpass filter with zero-phase shift (Butterworth IIR,  $5\sim 100\,mHz)$ 

- Bandpass filter with zero-phase shift (Butterworth IIR,  $5\sim 100\,\mathrm{mHz})$
- Replace  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$  with values from reference model

- Bandpass filter with zero-phase shift (Butterworth IIR,  $5\sim 100\,\mathrm{mHz})$
- Replace  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$  with values from reference model
- Fill in the polar gap with values from reference model

- Bandpass filter with zero-phase shift (Butterworth IIR,  $5\sim 100\,\mathrm{mHz})$
- Replace  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$  with values from reference model
- Fill in the polar gap with values from reference model
- Downward continuation of SGG by analytical method (Taylor series expansion)

- Bandpass filter with zero-phase shift (Butterworth IIR,  $5\sim 100\,\mathrm{mHz})$
- Replace  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$  with values from reference model
- Fill in the polar gap with values from reference model
- Downward continuation of SGG by analytical method (Taylor series expansion)
- Shepard interpolation for griding of data after downward continuation

## Strategy

- Bandpass filter with zero-phase shift (Butterworth IIR,  $5\sim 100\,\mathrm{mHz})$
- Replace  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$  with values from reference model
- Fill in the polar gap with values from reference model
- Downward continuation of SGG by analytical method (Taylor series expansion)
- Shepard interpolation for griding of data after downward continuation

## In addition

## Strategy

- Bandpass filter with zero-phase shift (Butterworth IIR,  $5\sim 100\,\mathrm{mHz})$
- Replace  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$  with values from reference model
- Fill in the polar gap with values from reference model
- Downward continuation of SGG by analytical method (Taylor series expansion)
- Shepard interpolation for griding of data after downward continuation

## In addition

• Reduce the griding error by remove-restore method

## Strategy

- Bandpass filter with zero-phase shift (Butterworth IIR,  $5\sim 100\,\mathrm{mHz})$
- Replace  $V_{xy}$  and  $V_{yz}$  with values from reference model
- Fill in the polar gap with values from reference model
- Downward continuation of SGG by analytical method (Taylor series expansion)
- Shepard interpolation for griding of data after downward continuation

## In addition

- Reduce the griding error by remove-restore method
- Reduce the influences of the prior model by iteration

## **Flow-chart**



- **2** Tensor Spherical Harmonics Analysis
- 3 Application of TSHA to GOCE Gravity Gradient



Data

## Data

• Period: November, 2009~June, 2010

## Data

- Period: November, 2009~June, 2010
- Type: IAQ, PRM, SST, SGG

## Data

- Period: November, 2009~June, 2010
- Type: IAQ, PRM, SST, SGG

## **Parameters**

## Data

- Period: November, 2009~June, 2010
- Type: IAQ, PRM, SST, SGG

#### **Parameters**

• Reference model: EGM2008

## Data

- Period: November, 2009~June, 2010
- Type: IAQ, PRM, SST, SGG

#### **Parameters**

- Reference model: EGM2008
- Grid resolution:  $10' \times 10'$

## Data

- Period: November, 2009~June, 2010
- Type: IAQ, PRM, SST, SGG

## **Parameters**

- Reference model: EGM2008
- Grid resolution:  $10' \times 10'$
- Degree: 240

## Differences with Respect to DIR\_R5 in Terms of Degree-Error Root Mean Square



## Error Spectrum with Respect to DIR\_R5 (log 10)

#### Error Spectrum with Respect to DIR\_R5 (log 10)





#### Degree-Error RMS with Respect to DIR\_R5



## GPS/Levelling Validation in China (649 points) and America (6169 points)

Max degree 220 with the omission error compensated by EGM2008(Unit: m)

| Region  | Model  | Max   | Min    | Mean   | Std   | RMS   |
|---------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| China   | TSHA   | 0.928 | -0.956 | 0.234  | 0.206 | 0.311 |
|         | SPW_R2 | 1.038 | -1.066 | 0.225  | 0.251 | 0.337 |
|         | DIR_R2 | 0.788 | -0.637 | 0.235  | 0.189 | 0.301 |
|         | TIM_R2 | 0.809 | -0.676 | 0.242  | 0.186 | 0.305 |
| America | TSHA   | 0.395 | -1.553 | -0.514 | 0.318 | 0.604 |
|         | SPW_R2 | 0.493 | -1.642 | -0.501 | 0.319 | 0.594 |
|         | DIR_R2 | 0.269 | -1.566 | -0.509 | 0.297 | 0.590 |
|         | TIM_R2 | 0.320 | -1.512 | -0.510 | 0.300 | 0.592 |

Slightly better than SPW\_R2 in China

## Conclusion

#### Conclusion

 Compared with the GPS/Leveling data in China and America, the model presented here has good consistency with official models.

#### Conclusion

• Compared with the GPS/Leveling data in China and America, the model presented here has good consistency with official models.

## Outlook

#### Conclusion

• Compared with the GPS/Leveling data in China and America, the model presented here has good consistency with official models.

## Outlook

• All data during the entire mission preiod

## Conclusion

 Compared with the GPS/Leveling data in China and America, the model presented here has good consistency with official models.

## Outlook

- All data during the entire mission preiod
- Wiener filter for filtering colored noise of gravity gradient data

## Conclusion

• Compared with the GPS/Leveling data in China and America, the model presented here has good consistency with official models.

## Outlook

- All data during the entire mission preiod
- Wiener filter for filtering colored noise of gravity gradient data
- Block-diagonal LS for combination of 3 types of observations

# Thank You!